

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

į,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,965	09/886,965 06/21/2001		Russell L. Kress	Kress 400	5029
Mr. Edward J.	7590 Timmer	12/27/2007	EXAMINER		
Walnut Woods	Centre		LAUX, JESSICA L		
5955 W. Main Street Kalamazoo, MI 49009				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			·	3635	
				<u> </u>	
			.*	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/886,965	KRESS, RUSSELL L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica Laux	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>uly 2007</u> .					
,-	·—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	εx paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,18,24,25,28-34,43,44,46,48-51</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-9, 18, 24-25, 28-34, 43-44, 46, 46, 46, 46, 46, 46, 46, 46, 46, </u>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

09/886,965 Art Unit: 3635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the amendment filed 07/26/2007. This case was inherited from a previous examiner, and upon further consideration it has been determined that a restriction is necessary

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1, 3-9, 18, 24-25, 28-34, 51, 53, 54-56, 58-59, drawn to modular
   living enclosure, classified in class 52, subclass 79.1.
- II. Claims 43-49, drawn to a method of making a modular living enclosure, classified in class 52, subclass 745.05.
- III. Claim 57, drawn to a combination, classified in class 52, subclass 79.1.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I & III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such being assembled outside a building or by being assembled in an offsite location and being transported to a building.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

09/886,965 Art Unit: 3635

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require a resin layer or a feature from the group of washbasin, toilet, drain, sump pump, or shower head. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use as a solitary enclosure outside a building.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species. Should applicant elect Group I, then a further species election must be made from the following species:

Application/Control Number:

09/886,965 Art Unit: 3635

Species I – the embodiment of claim 1 having resin layers and abrasion resistant walls

Species II – the embodiment of claim 18 having a bathroom feature connected to multiple utilities such as an electric garbage comminuting disposal and sump pump

Species III – the embodiment of claim 24 having a bathroom feature without the utilities as mention in species II and further having a furniture feature

Species IV – the embodiment of claim 51 having wall sections with integral feet

Species V – the embodiment of claim 53 having a wall section that includes an integral shower head

Species VI – the embodiment of claim 54 having an integral shower head and a floor drain

Species VII – the embodiment of claim 56 having a camera disposed on top enclosure section

Species VIII – the embodiment of claims 58-59 having bathroom features connected to multiple utilities such as a sump pump and further having furniture.

The species are independent or distinct because each species requires separate structural or additional features such as utilities or bathroom or furniture features. There would be a serious burden on the examiner to search for all of the claimed species because each would require a separate text query.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

09/886,965 Art Unit: 3635

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number:

09/886,965 Art Unit: 3635

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9:00am to 5:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ŋ

/J. CHAPMAN/ PRIMARY EXAMINER

JL 12/18/2007